UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/531,526	02/08/2006	Robert E Dudley	05046509	9100
26565 MAYER BROV	7590 07/09/200 VN LLP	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 2828		HUI, SAN MING R		
CHICAGO, IL 60690			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1617	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/09/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ipdocket@mayerbrown.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/531,526	DUDLEY, ROBERT E
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	San-ming Hui	1617
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tire I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 M 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4)	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examina 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct to be a composed and the correct to be a correct to b	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat* * See the attached detailed Office action for a list.	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat prity documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 5/12/2009 has been entered.

Claims 1-4, 6, 8-23, 25-30, 32, 34-45, 47-51 are pending.

The outstanding rejections under 35 USC 112 and 103(a) are withdrawn in view of the amendments filed 5/12/2009.

New rejections are set forth below:

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 9 and 50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 9 and 50 contain the trademark/trade name "MY 5445". Where a trademark or trade name is used in a claim as a limitation to identify or describe a

Art Unit: 1617

particular material or product, the claim does not comply with the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. See *Ex parte Simpson*, 218 USPQ 1020 (Bd. App. 1982). The claim scope is uncertain since the trademark or trade name cannot be used properly to identify any particular material or product. A trademark or trade name is used to identify a source of goods, and not the goods themselves. Thus, a trademark or trade name does not identify or describe the goods associated with the trademark or trade name. In the present case, the trademark/trade name is used to identify/describe an PDE V inhibitor and, accordingly, the identification/description is indefinite.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-4, 6, 8-23, 25-30, 32, 34-45, 47-51 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over

claims 1, 4-7, 10-16, 18-23, 25-27, 30-33, 35-38, 40-45, and 47-48 of copending Application No. 10/867435 ('435). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the scope of the claims in '435 significantly overlaps with that of the instant claims. For example, the active agents used, the penetrating enhancing agents, the gelling formulation are all similar, with different amount recited. Possessing the teachings of '435, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to adjust the weight amount of the herein claimed components in the method of treating erectile dysfunction.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4, 6, 8-23, 25-30, 32, 34-45, 47-51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over 5,730,987 ('987), WO99/24041 ('041), and WO93/25168 ('168) in view of WO96/27372 ('372) and Hussain et al. (US 6,200,591, reference of record).

Art Unit: 1617

'987 teaches testosterone and other agents such as yohimbine or papaverin in a combination as useful in the a composition and method of treating impotent (erectile dysfunction) in human males(See col. 1, lines 17-65 and claims 6-8).

Page 5

'041 teaches an enhancement of the penetration of transdermally or topically applied a pharmaceutical composition comprising an active agent, testosterone, and a penetration-enhancing system that comprises oleic acid (a C17 fatty acif), C1-C4 alcohol (e.g., ethanol, 2-propanol), and the gelling agents, CARBOPOL (See the abstract, page 3, lines 1-5, page 10, Example 1, and Fig. 2 for example).

'168 teaches testosterone composition comprising a transdermal delivery system comprising a C2 or C3 alcohol, a penetration-enhancersuch as glycerin and a gelling agent, as useful in methods of modulating and maintaining transdermal delivery of drug through the dermal layer at a relatively sustained rate over the duration of application to situs (See abstract, Example 3 at page 19-21, and claims 1-46 and 48 for example).

The primary references do not expressly teach the use of isopropyl myristate as the penetration enhancer. The primary references do not expressly teach the particular composition comprising the specific recited components. The primary references do not expressly teach the use of PDE V inhibitor in the method of treating erectile dysfunction.

Hussain et al. teaches the method of intranasal administration of sildenafil citrate to treat erectile dysfunction (See abstract, Figure 1; col 2, lines 58-64; col. 10, Example 2 or 3), which may additionally include other pharmaceutical agents such as apopmorphine, papaverine, phentolamine and phenoxybenzamine (See col. 3, lines 23-28; col. 10, Example 4 or 5). Adminstration of one spray into each nostril will deliver a

total of 30mg of sildenafil HCl and apomorphine HCl (See col. 10, example 4). Hussain et al also teaches the combination therapies that use sildenafil and apomorphione or other pharmaceutical agents such as papaverine, phentolamine and phenoxybenzamine may be administered simultaneously or sequentially in separate formulations to reach a combined effect (See col. 3, lines 26-28; col. 8, lines 3-12).

'372 teaches a topical composition useful in treating male erectile dysfunction comprising isopropyl myristate or glycerine (See the abstract and claims 1 and 4).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the specific penetration enhancer, isopropyl myristate, in the composition for treating erectile dysfunction. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the particular composition which comprising the specific herein claimed components along with sildenafil in a method of treating erectile dysfunction.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ the particular composition which comprising the specific herein claimed components along with sildenafil in a method of treating erectile dysfunction. The composition of the cited prior art containing testosterone is well-known to be useful for treating erectile dysfunction. Penetration enhancer is known to be useful in enhancing the delivery of testosterone and thus, the efficacy and effectiveness of testosterone for treating ED. By incorporating penetrating-enhancing agent such as oleic acid, C1-C4 alcohol, and a penetration enhancer and gelling agent, the transdermal delivery rate of drug delivery can be adequately achieved and maintained according to '168.

Page 7

Art Unit: 1617

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ the specific penetration enhancer, isopropyl myristate, in the composition for treating erectile dysfunction since incorporating another penetration enhancing agent such as isopropyl myristate would have been expected to be useful in further enhancing the drug delivery through the dermal layer. Furthermore, sildenafil and testosterone are well-known individually as useful in treating erectile dysfunction, concomitantly employ both agents in a method useful for the very same purpose is considered obvious (See *In re Kerkhoven* 205 USPQ 1069 (CCPA 1980)).

No claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to San-ming Hui whose telephone number is (571) 272-0626. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri from 9:00 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreeni Padmanabhan, PhD., can be reached on (571) 272-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/531,526 Page 8

Art Unit: 1617

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

San-ming Hui Primary Examiner Art Unit 1617

/San-ming Hui/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1617